

Pseudoriemannian Nilpotent Lie Groups

Phillip E. Parker

Mathematics Department
Wichita State University
Wichita KS 67260-0033
USA
phil@math.wichita.edu

30 June 2005
rev 3 October 2005

Abstract: This is a survey article with a limited list of references (as required by the publisher) which appears in the *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics*, eds. J.-P. Francoise, G.L. Naber and Tsou S. T. Oxford: Elsevier, 2006. vol. 4, pp. 94–104.

MSC(2000): Primary 53C50; Secondary 22E25, 53B30, 53C30.



1 Nilpotent Lie Groups

While there had not been much published on the geometry of nilpotent Lie groups with a left-invariant Riemannian metric in 1990, the situation is certainly better now; see the references in [6]. However, there is still very little extant about the more general pseudoriemannian case. In particular, the 2-step nilpotent groups are nonabelian and as close as possible to being Abelian, but display a rich variety of new and interesting geometric phenomena [4]. As in the Riemannian case, one of many places where they arise naturally is as groups of isometries acting on horospheres in certain (pseudoriemannian) symmetric spaces. Another is in the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ of semisimple groups with the Killing metric tensor, which need *not* be (positive or negative) definite even on N . Here, K is compact and A is abelian.

An early motivation for this study was the observation that there are two nonisometric pseudoriemannian metrics on the Heisenberg group H_3 , one of which is flat. This is a strong contrast to the Riemannian case in which there is only one (up to positive homothety) and it is *not* flat. This is not an anomaly, as we now well know.

While the idea of more than one timelike dimension has appeared a few times in the physics literature, both in string/M-theory and in brane-world scenarios, essentially all work to date assumes only one. Thus all applications so far are of Lorentzian or definite nilpotent groups. Guediri and co-workers lead the Lorentzian studies, and most of their results stated near the end of Section 3 concern a major, perennial interest in relativity: the (non)existence of closed timelike geodesics in compact Lorentzian manifolds.

Others have made use of nilpotent Lie groups with left-invariant (positive or negative) definite metric tensors, such as Hervig's [9] constructions of black-hole spacetimes from solvmanifolds (related to solvable groups: those with Iwasawa decomposition $G = AN$), including so-called BTZ constructions. Definite groups already having received thorough surveys elsewhere, most notably those of Eberlein, they and their applications are not included here.

Although the geometric properties of Lie groups with left-invariant definite metric tensors have been studied extensively, the same has not occurred for indefinite metric tensors. For example, while the paper of Milnor [14] has already become a classic reference, in particular for the classification of positive definite (Riemannian) metrics on 3-dimensional Lie groups, a classification of the left-invariant Lorentzian metric tensors on these groups

became available only in 1997. Similarly, only a few partial results in the line of Milnor's study of definite metrics were previously known for indefinite metrics. Moreover, in dimension 3 there are only two types of metric tensors: Riemannian (definite) and Lorentzian (indefinite). But in higher dimensions there are many distinct types of indefinite metrics while there is still essentially only one type of definite metric. This is another reason this area has special interest now.

As is customary in these volumes, the list of Further Reading consists of general survey articles and a select few of the more historically important papers. Precise bibliographical information for references merely mentioned or alluded to in this article may be found in those. The main, general reference on pseudoriemannian geometry is O'Neill's book [16]. Eberlein's article [6] covers the Riemannian case. At this time, there is no other comprehensive survey of the pseudoriemannian case. One may use [4], [7], and their reference lists to good advantage, however.

1.1 Inner product and signature

By an *inner product* on a vector space V we shall mean a nondegenerate, symmetric bilinear form on V , generally denoted by $\langle, \rangle$. In particular, we *do not* assume that it is positive definite. It has become customary to refer to an ordered pair of nonnegative integers (p, q) as the *signature* of the inner product, where p denotes the number of positive eigenvalues and q the number of negative eigenvalues. Then nondegeneracy means that $p + q = \dim V$. Note that there is no real geometric difference between (p, q) and (q, p) ; indeed, O'Neill gives handy conversion procedures for this (p. 92f) and for the other major sign variant (curvature, p. 89).

A *Riemannian* inner product has signature $(p, 0)$. In view of the preceding remark, one might as well regard signature $(0, q)$ as also being Riemannian, so that "Riemannian geometry is that of definite metric tensors." Similarly, a *Lorentzian* inner product has either $p = 1$ or $q = 1$. In this case, both sign conventions are used in relativistic theories with the proviso that the "1" axis is always timelike.

If neither p nor q is 1, there is no physical convention. We shall say that $v \in V$ is *timelike* if $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$, *null* if $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$, and *spacelike* if $\langle v, v \rangle < 0$. (In a Lorentzian example, one may wish to revert to one's preferred relativistic convention.) We shall refer to these collectively as the *causal type* of a vector (or of a curve to which a vector is tangent).

Considering indefinite inner products (and metric tensors) thus greatly

expands one's purview, from one type of geometry (Riemannian), or possibly two (Riemannian and Lorentzian), to a total of $\lfloor \frac{p+q}{2} \rfloor + 1$ distinctly different types of geometries on *the same underlying differential manifolds*.

1.2 Rise of 2-step groups

Throughout, N will denote a connected (and simply connected, usually), nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ having center $\mathfrak{z}$. We shall use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to denote either an inner product on $\mathfrak{n}$ or the induced left-invariant pseudoriemannian (indefinite) metric tensor on N .

For all nilpotent Lie groups, the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$ is surjective. Indeed, it is a diffeomorphism for simply connected N ; in this case we shall denote the inverse by $\log$.

One of the earliest papers on the Riemannian geometry of nilpotent Lie groups is [19]. Since then, a few other papers about general nilpotent Lie groups have appeared, including [13] and [17], but the area has not seen a lot of progress.

In 1981, Kaplan published [11] and everything changed. Following this paper and its successor [12], almost all subsequent work on the left-invariant geometry of nilpotent groups has been on 2-step groups.

Briefly, Kaplan defined a new class of nilpotent Lie groups, calling them *of Heisenberg type*. This was soon abbreviated to H -type, and has since been called Heisenberg-like and (unfortunately) generalized Heisenberg. (Unfortunately, because that term was already in use for another class, not all of which are of H -type.) What made them so compelling was that (almost) everything was *explicitly* calculable, thus making them the next great test bed after symmetric spaces.

Definition 1.1 We say that N (or $\mathfrak{n}$) is 2-step nilpotent when $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{z}$.

Then $[[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}] = 0$ and the generalization to k -step nilpotent is clear:

$$[[\cdots [[[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}] \cdots], \mathfrak{n}] = 0$$

with $k + 1$ copies of $\mathfrak{n}$ (or k nested brackets, if you prefer).

It soon became apparent that H -type groups comprised a subclass of 2-step groups; for a nice, modern proof see [2]. By around 1990, they had also attracted the attention of the spectral geometry industry, and Eberlein produced the seminal survey (with important new results) from which the modern era began. (It was published in 1994 [5], but the preprint had

circulated widely since 1990.) Since then, activity around 2-step nilpotent Lie groups has mushroomed; see the references in [6].

Finally, turning to pseudoriemannian nilpotent Lie groups, with perhaps one or two exceptions, all results so far have been obtained only for 2-step groups. Thus the remaining sections of this article will be devoted almost exclusively to them.

The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula takes on a particularly simple form in these groups:

$$\exp(x)\exp(y) = \exp\left(x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y]\right). \quad (1.1)$$

Proposition 1.2 *In a pseudoriemannian 2-step nilpotent Lie group, the exponential map preserves causal character. Alternatively, 1-parameter subgroups are curves of constant causal character.*

Of course, 1-parameter subgroups need not be geodesics.

1.3 Lattices and completeness

We shall need some basic facts about lattices in N . In nilpotent Lie groups, a lattice is a discrete subgroup Γ such that the homogeneous space $M = \Gamma \backslash N$ is compact. Here we follow the convention that a lattice acts on the *left*, so that the coset space consists of *left* cosets and this is indicated by the notation. Other subgroups will generally act on the *right*, allowing better separation of the effects of two simultaneous actions.

Lattices do not always exist in nilpotent Lie groups.

Theorem 1.3 *The simply connected, nilpotent Lie group N admits a lattice if and only if there exists a basis of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ for which the structure constants are rational.*

Such a group is said to have a rational structure, or simply to be rational.

A *nilmanifold* is a (compact) homogeneous space of the form $\Gamma \backslash N$ where N is a connected, simply connected (rational) nilpotent Lie group and Γ is a lattice in N . An *infranilmanifold* has a nilmanifold as a finite covering space. They are commonly regarded as a noncommutative generalization of tori, the Klein bottle being the simplest example of an infranilmanifold that is not a nilmanifold.

We recall the result of Marsden from [16].

Theorem 1.4 *A compact, homogeneous pseudoriemannian space is geodesically complete.*

Thus if a rational N is provided with a bi-invariant metric tensor $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, then M becomes a compact, homogeneous pseudoriemannian space which is therefore complete. It follows that $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is itself complete. In general, however, the metric tensor is not bi-invariant and N need not be complete.

For 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, things work nicely as shown by this result first published by Guediri.

Theorem 1.5 *On a 2-step nilpotent Lie group, all left-invariant pseudoriemannian metrics are geodesically complete.*

No such general result holds for 3- and higher-step groups, however.

2 2-step Groups

In the Riemannian (positive-definite) case, one splits $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{z}^\perp$ where the superscript denotes the orthogonal complement with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In the general pseudoriemannian case, however, $\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{z}^\perp \neq \mathfrak{n}$. The problem is that $\mathfrak{z}$ might be a *degenerate* subspace; *i.e.*, it might contain a null subspace $\mathfrak{U}$ for which $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}^\perp$.

It turns out that this possible degeneracy of the center causes the essential differences between the Riemannian and pseudoriemannian cases. So far, the only general success in studying groups with degenerate centers was in [4] where we used an adapted Witt decomposition of $\mathfrak{n}$ together with an involution ι exchanging the two null parts.

Observe that if $\mathfrak{z}$ is degenerate, the null subspace $\mathfrak{U}$ is well defined invariantly. We shall use a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E} \quad (2.1)$$

in which $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$, $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{V}$ are complementary null subspaces, and $\mathfrak{U}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{V}^\perp = \mathfrak{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$. Although the choice of $\mathfrak{V}$ is *not* well defined invariantly, once a $\mathfrak{V}$ has been chosen then $\mathfrak{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{E}$ are well defined invariantly. Indeed, $\mathfrak{Z}$ is the portion of the center $\mathfrak{z}$ in $\mathfrak{U}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{V}^\perp$ and $\mathfrak{E}$ is its orthocomplement in $\mathfrak{U}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{V}^\perp$. This is a Witt decomposition of $\mathfrak{n}$ given $\mathfrak{U}$, easily seen by noting that $(\mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{V})^\perp = \mathfrak{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$, adapted to the special role of the center in $\mathfrak{n}$.

We shall also need to use an involution ι that interchanges $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{V}$ and which reduces to the identity on $\mathfrak{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$ in the Riemannian (positive-definite) case. (The particular choice of such an involution is not significant.) It turns out that ι is an isometry of $\mathfrak{n}$ which does *not* integrate to an isometry of

N . The adjoint with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ of the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ on itself is denoted by $\text{ad}^\dagger$.

Definition 2.1 The linear mapping

$$j : \mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E})$$

is given by

$$j(a)x = \iota \text{ad}_x^\dagger \iota a.$$

Formulas for the connection and curvatures, and explicit forms for many examples, may be found in [4]. It turns out there is a relatively large class of flat spaces, a clear distinction from the Riemannian case in which there are none.

Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$. Recall that *homaloidal* planes are those for which the numerator $\langle R(x, y)y, x \rangle$ of the sectional curvature formula vanishes. This notion is useful for degenerate planes tangent to spaces that are not of constant curvature.

Definition 2.2 A submanifold of a pseudoriemannian manifold is *flat* if and only if every plane tangent to the submanifold is homaloidal.

Theorem 2.3 *The center Z of N is flat.*

Corollary 2.4 *The only N of constant curvature are flat.*

The degenerate part of the center can have a profound effect on the geometry of the whole group.

Theorem 2.5 *If $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{E} = \{0\}$, then N is flat.*

Among these spaces, those that also have $\mathfrak{Z} = \{0\}$ (which condition itself implies $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$) are fundamental, with the more general ones obtained by making nondegenerate central extensions. It is also easy to see that the product of any flat group with a nondegenerate abelian factor is still flat.

This is the best possible result in general. Using weaker hypotheses in place of $\mathfrak{E} = \{0\}$, such as $[\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{V}] = \{0\} = [\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}]$, it is easy to construct examples which are not flat.

Corollary 2.6 *If $\dim Z \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, then there exists a flat metric on N .*

Here $\lceil r \rceil$ denotes the least integer greater than or equal to r and $n = \dim N$.

Before continuing, we pause to collect some facts about the condition $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and its consequences.

Remark 2.7 Since it implies $j(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$, this latter is possible with no pseudoeuclidean de Rham factor, unlike the Riemannian case. (On the other hand, a pseudoeuclidean de Rham factor is characterized in terms of the Kaplan-Eberlein map j whenever the center is nondegenerate.)

Also, it implies $j(u)$ interchanges $\mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathfrak{E}$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{U}$ if and only if $[\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{V}] = [\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}] = \{0\}$. Examples are the Heisenberg group and the groups $H(p, 1)$ for $p \geq 2$ with null centers.

Finally we note it implies that, for every $u \in \mathfrak{U}$, $j(u)$ maps $\mathfrak{V}$ to $\mathfrak{V}$ if and only if $j(u)$ maps $\mathfrak{E}$ to $\mathfrak{E}$ if and only if $[\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{E}] = \{0\}$.

Proposition 2.8 *If $j(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ and $j(u)$ interchanges $\mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathfrak{E}$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{U}$, then N is Ricci flat.*

Proposition 2.9 *If $j(z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$, then N is scalar flat. In particular, this occurs when $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$.*

Much like the Riemannian case, we would expect that $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ should in some sense be similar to flat pseudoeuclidean space. This is seen, for example, *via* the existence of totally geodesic subgroups [4]. (O'Neill [16, Ex. 9, p. 125] has extended the definition of totally geodesic to degenerate submanifolds of pseudoriemannian manifolds.)

Example 2.10 For any $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ the 1-parameter subgroup $\exp(tx)$ is a geodesic if and only if $x \in \mathfrak{Z}$ or $x \in \mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$. This is essentially the same as the Riemannian case, but with some additional geodesic 1-parameter subgroups coming from $\mathfrak{U}$.

Example 2.11 Abelian subspaces of $\mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{n}$, and give rise to complete, flat, totally geodesic abelian subgroups of N , just as in the Riemannian case. Eberlein's construction is valid in general, and shows that if $\dim \mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E} \geq 1 + k + k \dim \mathfrak{Z}$, then every nonzero element of $\mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E}$ lies in an abelian subspace of dimension $k + 1$.

Example 2.12 The center Z of N is a complete, flat, totally geodesic submanifold. Moreover, it determines a foliation of N by its left translates, so each leaf is flat and totally geodesic, as in the Riemannian case. In the pseudoriemannian case, this foliation in turn is the orthogonal direct sum of two foliations determined by $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}$, and the leaves of the $\mathfrak{U}$ -foliation are also null. All these leaves are complete.

There is also the existence of $\dim \mathfrak{z}$ independent first integrals, a familiar result in pseudoeuclidean space, and the geodesic equations are completely integrable; in certain cases (mostly when the center is nondegenerate) one can obtain *explicit* formulas. Unlike the Riemannian case, there are flat groups (nonabelian) which are isometric to pseudoeuclidean spaces (abelian).

Theorem 2.13 *If $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{E} = \{0\}$, then N is geodesically connected. Consequently, so is any nilmanifold with such a universal covering space.*

Thus these compact nilmanifolds are much like tori. This is also illustrated by the computation of their period spectrum.

2.1 Isometry group

The main new feature is that when the center is degenerate, the isometry group can be strictly larger in a significant way than when the center is nondegenerate (which includes the Riemannian case).

Letting $\text{Aut}(N)$ denote the automorphism group of N and $I(N)$ the isometry group of N , set $O(N) = \text{Aut}(N) \cap I(N)$. In the Riemannian case, $I(N) = O(N) \ltimes N$, the semidirect product where N acts as left translations. We have chosen the notation $O(N)$ to suggest an analogy with the pseudoeuclidean case in which this subgroup is precisely the (general, including reflections) pseudorthogonal group. According to Wilson [18], this analogy is good for *any* nilmanifold (not necessarily 2-step).

To see what is true about the isometry group in general, first consider the (left-invariant) splitting of the tangent bundle $TN = \mathfrak{z}N \oplus \mathfrak{v}N$.

Definition 2.14 Denote by $I^{\text{spl}}(N)$ the subgroup of the isometry group $I(N)$ which preserves the splitting $TN = \mathfrak{z}N \oplus \mathfrak{v}N$. Further, let $I^{\text{aut}}(N) = O(N) \ltimes N$, where N acts by left translations.

Proposition 2.15 *If N is a simply-connected, 2-step nilpotent Lie group with left-invariant metric tensor, then $I^{\text{spl}}(N) \leq I^{\text{aut}}(N)$.*

There are examples to show that $I^{\text{spl}} < I^{\text{aut}}$ is possible when $\mathfrak{U} \neq \{0\}$.

When the center is degenerate, the relevant group analogous to a pseudorthogonal group may be larger.

Proposition 2.16 *Let $\tilde{O}(N)$ denote the subgroup of $I(N)$ which fixes $1 \in N$. Then $I(N) \cong \tilde{O}(N) \ltimes N$, where N acts by left translations.*

The proof is obvious from the definition of $\tilde{O}$. It is also obvious that $O \leq \tilde{O}$. Examples show that $O < \tilde{O}$, hence $I^{aut} < I$, is possible when the center is degenerate.

Thus we have three groups of isometries, not necessarily equal in general: $I^{spl} \leq I^{aut} \leq I$. When the center is nondegenerate ($\mathfrak{U} = \{0\}$), the Ricci transformation is block-diagonalizable and the rest of Kaplan's proof using it now also works.

Corollary 2.17 *If the center is nondegenerate, then $I(N) = I^{spl}(N)$, hence $\tilde{O}(N) \cong O(N)$.*

In the next few results, we use the phrase “a subgroup isometric to” a group to mean that the isometry is also an isomorphism of groups.

Proposition 2.18 *For any N containing a subgroup isometric to the flat 3-dimensional Heisenberg group,*

$$I^{spl}(N) < I^{aut}(N) < I(N).$$

Unfortunately, this class does not include our flat groups in which $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{E} = \{0\}$. However, it does include many groups that do not satisfy $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$, such as the simplest quaternionic Heisenberg group.

Remark 2.19 A direct computation shows that on this flat H_3 with null center, the only Killing fields with geodesic integral curves are the nonzero scalar multiples of a vector field tangent to the center.

Proposition 2.20 *For any N containing a subgroup isometric to the flat $H_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ with null center,*

$$I^{spl}(N) < I^{aut}(N) < I(N).$$

Many of our flat groups in which $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{E} = \{0\}$ have such a subgroup isometrically embedded, as in fact do many others which are not flat.

2.2 Lattices and periodic geodesics

In this subsection, we assume that N is rational and let Γ be a lattice in N .

Certain tori T_F and T_B provide the model fiber and the base for a submersion of the coset space $\Gamma \backslash N$. This submersion may not be pseudoriemannian in the usual sense, because the tori may be degenerate. We began

the study of periodic geodesics in these compact nilmanifolds, and obtained a complete calculation of the period spectrum for certain flat spaces.

To the compact nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash N$ we may associate two flat (possibly degenerate) tori.

Definition 2.21 Let N be a simply connected, 2-step nilpotent Lie group with lattice Γ and let $\pi : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ denote the projection. Define

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathfrak{z}} &= \mathfrak{z}/(\log \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{z}), \\ T_{\mathfrak{v}} &= \mathfrak{v}/\pi(\log \Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\dim T_{\mathfrak{z}} + \dim T_{\mathfrak{v}} = \dim \mathfrak{z} + \dim \mathfrak{v} = \dim \mathfrak{n}$.

Let $m = \dim \mathfrak{z}$ and $n = \dim \mathfrak{v}$. It is a consequence of a theorem of Palais and Stewart that $\Gamma \backslash N$ is a principal T^m -bundle over T^n . The model fiber T^m can be given a geometric structure from its closed embedding in $\Gamma \backslash N$; we denote this geometric m -torus by T_F . Similarly, we wish to provide the base n -torus with a geometric structure so that the projection $p_B : \Gamma \backslash N \twoheadrightarrow T_B$ is the appropriate generalization of a pseudoriemannian submersion [16] to (possibly) degenerate spaces. Observe that the splitting $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$ induces splittings $TN = \mathfrak{z}N \oplus \mathfrak{v}N$ and $T(\Gamma \backslash N) = \mathfrak{z}(\Gamma \backslash N) \oplus \mathfrak{v}(\Gamma \backslash N)$, and that p_{B*} just mods out $\mathfrak{z}(\Gamma \backslash N)$. Examining O'Neill's definition, we see that the key is to construct the geometry of T_B by defining

$$p_{B*} : \mathfrak{v}_{\eta}(\Gamma \backslash N) \rightarrow T_{p_B(\eta)}(T_B) \text{ for each } \eta \in \Gamma \backslash N \text{ is an isometry} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\nabla_{p_{B*}x}^{T_B} p_{B*}y = p_{B*}(\pi \nabla_x y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\pi : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ is the projection. Then the rest of the usual results will continue to hold, provided that sectional curvature is replaced by the numerator of the sectional curvature formula at least when elements of $\mathfrak{V}$ are involved:

$$\langle R_{T_B}(p_{B*}x, p_{B*}y)p_{B*}y, p_{B*}x \rangle = \langle R_{\Gamma \backslash N}(x, y)y, x \rangle + \frac{3}{4}\langle [x, y], [x, y] \rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

Now p_B will be a pseudoriemannian submersion in the usual sense if and only if $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{V} = \{0\}$, as is always the case for Riemannian spaces.

In the Riemannian case, Eberlein showed that $T_F \cong T_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and $T_B \cong T_{\mathfrak{v}}$. In general, T_B is flat only if N has a nondegenerate center or is flat.

Remark 2.22 Observe that the torus T_B may be decomposed into a topological product $T_E \times T_V$ in the obvious way. It is easy to check that T_E is flat and isometric to $(\log \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{E}) \backslash \mathfrak{E}$, and that T_V has a linear connection not coming from a metric and not flat in general. Moreover, the geometry of the product is “twisted” in a certain way. It would be interesting to determine which tori could appear as such a T_V and how.

Theorem 2.23 *Let N be a simply connected, 2-step nilpotent Lie group with lattice Γ , a left-invariant metric tensor, and tori as above. The fibers T_F of the (generalized) pseudoriemannian submersion $\Gamma \backslash N \rightarrow T_B$ are isometric to T_3 . If in addition the center Z of N is nondegenerate, then the base T_B is isometric to T_v .*

We recall that elements of N can be identified with elements of the isometry group $I(N)$: namely, $n \in N$ is identified with the isometry $\phi = L_n$ of left translation by n . We shall abbreviate this by writing $\phi \in N$.

Definition 2.24 We say that $\phi \in N$ *translates* the geodesic γ by ω if and only if $\phi\gamma(t) = \gamma(t + \omega)$ for all t . If γ is a unit-speed geodesic, we say that ω is a *period* of ϕ .

Recall that unit speed means that $|\dot{\gamma}| = |\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$. Since there is no natural normalization for null geodesics, we do not define periods for them. In the Riemannian case and in the timelike Lorentzian case in strongly causal spacetimes, unit-speed geodesics are parameterized by arclength and this period is a translation distance. If ϕ belongs to a lattice Γ , it is the length of a closed geodesic in $\Gamma \backslash N$.

In general, recall that if γ is a geodesic in N and if $p_N : N \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash N$ denotes the natural projection, then $p_N \gamma$ is a periodic geodesic in $\Gamma \backslash N$ if and only if some $\phi \in \Gamma$ translates γ . We say *periodic* rather than *closed* here because in pseudoriemannian spaces it is possible for a null geodesic to be closed but not periodic. If the space is geodesically complete or Riemannian, however, then this does not occur; the former is in fact the case for our 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. Further recall that free homotopy classes of closed curves in $\Gamma \backslash N$ correspond bijectively with conjugacy classes in Γ .

Definition 2.25 Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote either a nontrivial, free homotopy class of closed curves in $\Gamma \backslash N$ or the corresponding conjugacy class in Γ . We define $\wp(\mathcal{C})$ to be the set of all periods of periodic unit-speed geodesics that belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

In the Riemannian case, this is the set of lengths of closed geodesics in $\mathcal{C}$, frequently denoted by $\ell(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.26 The *period spectrum* of $\Gamma \backslash N$ is the set

$$\text{spec}_\varphi(\Gamma \backslash N) = \bigcup_e \varphi(\mathcal{C}),$$

where the union is taken over all nontrivial, free homotopy classes of closed curves in $\Gamma \backslash N$.

In the Riemannian case, this is the length spectrum $\text{spec}_\ell(\Gamma \backslash N)$.

Example 2.27 Similar to the Riemannian case, we can compute the period spectrum of a flat torus $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{R}^m$, where Γ is a lattice (of maximal rank, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^m$). Using calculations in an analogous way as for finding the length spectrum of a Riemannian flat torus, we easily obtain

$$\text{spec}_\varphi(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{R}^m) = \{|g| \neq 0 \mid g \in \Gamma\}.$$

It is also easy to see that the nonzero d'Alembertian spectrum is related to the analogous set produced from the dual lattice Γ^* as multiples by $\pm 4\pi^2$, almost as in the Riemannian case.

As in this example, simple determinacy of periods of unit-speed geodesics helps make calculation of the period spectrum possible purely in terms of $\log \Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$.

For the rest of this subsection, we assume that N is a simply connected, 2-step nilpotent Lie group with left-invariant pseudoriemannian metric tensor $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Note that non-null geodesics may be taken to be of unit speed. Most nonidentity elements of N translate some geodesic, but not necessarily one of unit speed.

For our special class of flat 2-step nilmanifolds, we can calculate the period spectrum completely.

Theorem 2.28 *If $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{E} = \{0\}$, then $\text{spec}_\varphi(M)$ can be completely calculated from $\log \Gamma$ for any $M = \Gamma \backslash N$.*

Thus we see again just how much these flat, 2-step nilmanifolds are like tori. All periods can be calculated purely from $\log \Gamma \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$, although some will not show up from the tori in the fibration.

Corollary 2.29 $\text{spec}_\varphi(T_B)$ (respectively, T_F) is $\cup_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi(\mathcal{C})$ where the union is taken over all those free homotopy classes $\mathcal{C}$ of closed curves in $M = \Gamma \backslash N$ that do not (respectively, do) contain an element in the center of $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$, except for those periods arising only from unit-speed geodesics in M that project to null geodesics in both T_B and T_F .

We note that one might consider using this to assign periods to some null geodesics in the tori T_B and T_F .

When the center is nondegenerate, we obtain results similar to Eberlein's. Here is part of them.

Theorem 2.30 Assume $\mathfrak{U} = \{0\}$. Let $\phi \in N$ and write $\log \phi = z^* + e^*$. Assume ϕ translates the unit-speed geodesic γ by $\omega > 0$. Let z' denote the component of z^* orthogonal to $[e^*, \mathfrak{n}]$ and set $\omega^* = |z' + e^*|$. Let $\dot{\gamma}(0) = z_0 + e_0$. Then

1. $|e^*| \leq \omega$. In addition, $\omega < \omega^*$ for timelike (spacelike) geodesics with $\omega z_0 - z'$ timelike (spacelike), and $\omega > \omega^*$ for timelike (spacelike) geodesics with $\omega z_0 - z'$ spacelike (timelike).
2. $\omega = |e^*|$ if and only if $\gamma(t) = \exp(t e^* / |e^*|)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
3. $\omega = \omega^*$ if and only if $\omega z_0 - z'$ is null.

Although ω^* need not be an upper bound for periods as in the Riemannian case, it nonetheless plays a special role among all periods, as seen in item 3 above, and we shall refer to it as the *distinguished* period associated with $\phi \in N$. When the center is definite, for example, we do have $\omega \leq \omega^*$.

Now the following definitions make sense at least for N with a nondegenerate center.

Definition 2.31 Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote either a nontrivial, free homotopy class of closed curves in $\Gamma \backslash N$ or the corresponding conjugacy class in Γ . We define $\varphi^*(\mathcal{C})$ to be the distinguished periods of periodic unit-speed geodesics that belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.32 The *distinguished period spectrum* of $\Gamma \backslash N$ is the set

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{spec}_\varphi}(\Gamma \backslash N) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^*(\mathcal{C}),$$

where the union is taken over all nontrivial, free homotopy classes of closed curves in $\Gamma \backslash N$.

Then we get this result.

Corollary 2.33 *Assume the center is nondegenerate. If $\mathfrak{n}$ is nonsingular, then $\text{spec}_\varphi(T_B)$ (respectively, T_F) is precisely the period spectrum (respectively, the distinguished period spectrum) of those free homotopy classes $\mathcal{C}$ of closed curves in $M = \Gamma \backslash N$ that do not (respectively, do) contain an element in the center of $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$, except for those periods arising only from unit-speed geodesics in M that project to null geodesics in both T_B and T_F .*

2.3 Conjugate loci

This is the only general result on conjugate points.

Proposition 2.34 *Let N be a simply connected, 2-step nilpotent Lie group with left-invariant metric tensor $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let γ be a geodesic with $\dot{\gamma}(0) = a \in \mathfrak{z}$. If $\text{ad}_\bullet^\dagger a = 0$, then there are no conjugate points along γ .*

In the rest of this subsection, we assume that the center of N is nondegenerate.

For convenience, we shall use the notation $J_z = \text{ad}_\bullet^\dagger z$ for any $z \in \mathfrak{z}$. (Since the center is nondegenerate, the involution ι may be omitted.) We follow Ciatti [3] for this next definition. As in the Riemannian case, one might as well make 2-step nilpotent part of the definition since it effectively is so anyway.

Definition 2.35 N is said to be of *pseudoH-type* if and only if

$$J_z^2 = -\langle z, z \rangle I$$

for any $z \in \mathfrak{z}$.

Complete results on conjugate loci have been obtained only for these groups [10]. For example, using standard results from analytic function theory, one can show that the conjugate locus is an analytic variety in N . This is probably true for general 2-step groups, but the proof I know works only for pseudoH-type.

Definition 2.36 Let γ denote a geodesic and assume that $\gamma(t_0)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(0)$ along γ . To indicate that the multiplicity of $\gamma(t_0)$ is m , we shall write $\text{mult}_{cp}(t_0) = m$. To distinguish the notions clearly, we shall denote the multiplicity of λ as an eigenvalue of a specified linear transformation by $\text{mult}_{ev} \lambda$.

Let γ be a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = 1$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = z_0 + x_0 \in \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$, respectively, and let $J = J_{z_0}$. If γ is not null, we may assume γ is normalized so that $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \pm 1$. As usual, $\mathbb{Z}^*$ denotes the set of all integers with 0 removed.

Theorem 2.37 *Under these assumptions, if N is of pseudoH-type then:*

1. *if $z_0 = 0$ and $x_0 \neq 0$, then $\gamma(t)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(0)$ along γ if and only if $\langle x_0, x_0 \rangle < 0$ and*

$$-\frac{12}{t^2} = \langle x_0, x_0 \rangle,$$

in which case $\text{mult}_{cp}(t) = \dim \mathfrak{z}$;

2. *if $z_0 \neq 0$ and $x_0 = 0$, then $\gamma(t)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(0)$ along γ if and only if $\langle z_0, z_0 \rangle > 0$ and*

$$t \in \frac{2\pi}{|z_0|} \mathbb{Z}^*,$$

in which case $\text{mult}_{cp}(t) = \dim \mathfrak{v}$.

Theorem 2.38 *Let γ be such a geodesic in a pseudoH-type group N with $z_0 \neq 0 \neq x_0$.*

1. *If $\langle z_0, z_0 \rangle = \alpha^2$ with $\alpha > 0$, then $\gamma(t_0)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(0)$ along γ if and only if*

$$t_0 \in \frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}^* \cup \mathbb{A}_1 \cup \mathbb{A}_2$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}_1 = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x_0, x_0 \rangle \frac{\alpha t}{2} \cot \frac{\alpha t}{2} = \langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_2 = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \alpha t = \frac{\langle x_0, x_0 \rangle}{\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle + \langle z_0, z_0 \rangle} \sin \alpha t \right\} \quad \text{when } \dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 2.$$

If $t_0 \in (2\pi/\alpha) \mathbb{Z}^*$, then

$$\text{mult}_{cp}(t_0) = \begin{cases} \dim \mathfrak{v} - 1 & \text{if } \langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle + \langle z_0, z_0 \rangle \neq 0, \\ \dim \mathfrak{n} - 2 & \text{if } \langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle + \langle z_0, z_0 \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $t_0 \notin (2\pi/\alpha) \mathbb{Z}^*$, then

$$\text{mult}_{cp}(t_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{A}_1 - \mathbb{A}_2, \\ \dim \mathfrak{z} - 1 & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{A}_2 - \mathbb{A}_1, \\ \dim \mathfrak{z} & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{A}_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_2. \end{cases}$$

2. If $\langle z_0, z_0 \rangle = -\beta^2$ with $\beta > 0$, then $\gamma(t_0)$ is a conjugate point along γ if and only if $t_0 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \cup \mathbb{B}_2$ where

$$\mathbb{B}_1 = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x_0, x_0 \rangle \frac{\beta t}{2} \coth \frac{\beta t}{2} = \langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{B}_2 = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \beta t = \frac{\langle x_0, x_0 \rangle}{\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle + \langle z_0, z_0 \rangle} \sinh \beta t \right\} \quad \text{when } \dim \mathfrak{z} \geq 2.$$

The multiplicity is

$$\text{mult}_{cp}(t_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{B}_1 - \mathbb{B}_2, \\ \dim \mathfrak{z} - 1 & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{B}_2 - \mathbb{B}_1, \\ \dim \mathfrak{z} & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbb{B}_1 \cap \mathbb{B}_2. \end{cases}$$

3. If $\langle z_0, z_0 \rangle = 0$, then $\gamma(t_0)$ is a conjugate point along γ if and only if

$$t_0^2 = -\frac{12}{\langle x_0, x_0 \rangle},$$

and $\text{mult}_{cp}(t_0) = \dim \mathfrak{z} - 1$.

This covers all cases for a pseudo H -type group with a center of any dimension.

Some results on other 2-step groups and examples (including pictures in dimension 3) may be found in the references cited in [10]. When the groups are not pseudo H -type, however, complete results are available only when the center is 1-dimensional. Guediri [8] has results in the timelike Lorentzian case.

3 Lorentzian Groups

Not too long ago, only a few partial results in the line of Milnor's study of definite metrics were known for indefinite metrics [1, 15], and they were Lorentzian.

Guediri [7] and others have made special study of Lorentzian 2-step groups, partly because of their relevance to General Relativity where they can be used to provide interesting and important (counter)examples. Special features of Lorentzian geometry frequently enable them to obtain much more complete and explicit results than are possible in general.

For example, in [7] Guediri was able to provide a complete and explicit integration of the geodesic equations for Lorentzian 2-step groups. This includes the case of a degenerate center, which only required extremely careful handling through a number of cases. He also paid special attention to the existence of closed timelike geodesics, reflecting the relativistic concerns.

As usual, N denotes a connected and simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group. For the rest of this section we assume the left-invariant metric tensor is Lorentzian. Whenever a lattice is mentioned, we also assume the group is rational.

Proposition 3.1 *If the center is degenerate, then no timelike geodesic can be translated by a central element.*

Thus, there can be no closed timelike geodesics parallel to the center in any nilmanifold obtained from such an N .

Theorem 3.2 *If the center is Lorentzian, then $\Gamma \backslash N$ contains no timelike or null closed geodesics for any lattice Γ .*

To handle degenerate centers, three refined notions for nonsingular are used: *almost*, *weakly*, and *strongly* nonsingular. The precise definitions involve an adapted Witt decomposition (as in the general pseudoriemannian case, but a rather different one here) and are quite technical, as is typical. We refer to [7] for details.

Theorem 3.3 *If N is weakly nonsingular, then no timelike geodesic can be translated by an element of N .*

Corollary 3.4 *If N is flat, then no timelike geodesic can be translated by a nonidentity element.*

Corollary 3.5 *If N is flat, then $\Gamma \backslash N$ contains no closed timelike geodesics for any lattice Γ .*

Corollary 3.6 *If N is weakly nonsingular, then $\Gamma \backslash N$ contains no closed timelike geodesic.*

Corollary 3.7 *If H_{2k+1} is a Lorentzian Heisenberg group with degenerate center, then $\Gamma \backslash N$ contains no closed timelike geodesic.*

Guediri also has the only non-Riemannian results so far about the phenomenon Eberlein called “in resonance.” Roughly speaking, this occurs when the eigenvalues of the map j have rational ratios. (The Lorentzian case actually requires a slightly more complicated condition when the center is degenerate.)

Theorem 3.8 *If N is almost nonsingular, then N is in resonance if and only if every geodesic of N is translated by some element of N .*

Further Reading

- [1] F. Barner, On Lie groups that admit left-invariant Lorentz metrics of constant sectional curvature, *Illinois J. Math.* **33** (1989) 631–642.
- [2] J. Berndt, F. Tricerri, and L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg Groups and Damek-Ricci Harmonic Spaces*. LNM 1598. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [3] P. Ciatti, Scalar products on Clifford modules and pseudo- H -type Lie algebras, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **178** (2000), 1–31.
- [4] L. A. Cordero and P. E. Parker, Pseudoriemannian 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, Santiago-Wichita preprint DGS/CP4, 1999.
arXiv:math.DG/9905188
- [5] P. Eberlein, Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left-invariant metric, *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **27** (1994) 611–660.
- [6] P. Eberlein, Left-invariant geometry of Lie groups, *Cubo* **6** (2004) 427–510. (See also <http://www.math.unc.edu/Faculty/pbe/>.)
- [7] M. Guediri, Lorentz geometry of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, *Geom. Dedicata* **100** (2003) 11–51.
- [8] M. Guediri, The timelike cut locus and conjugate points in Lorentz 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, *Manuscripta Math.* **114** (2004) 9–35.
- [9] S. Hervig, Einstein metrics: homogeneous solvmanifolds, generalised Heisenberg groups and black holes, *J. Geom. Phys.* **52** (2004) 298–312.
- [10] C. Jang, P. E. Parker, and K. Park, Pseudo- H -type 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, *Houston J. Math.* **31** (2005) 765–786. arXiv:math.DG/0307368

- [11] A. Kaplan, Riemannian nilmanifolds attached to Clifford modules, *Geom. Ded.* **11** (1981) 127–136.
- [12] A. Kaplan, On the geometry of Lie groups of Heisenberg type, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **15** (1983) 35–42.
- [13] R. Karidi, Geometry of balls in nilpotent Lie groups, *Duke Math. J.* **74** (1994) 301–317.
- [14] J. Milnor, Curvatures of left-invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Adv. in Math.* **21** (1976) 293–329.
- [15] K. Nomizu, Left-invariant Lorentz metrics on Lie groups, *Osaka Math. J.* **16** (1979) 143–150.
- [16] B. O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*. New York: Academic Press, 1983.
- [17] S. D. Pauls, The large scale geometry of nilpotent Lie groups, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **9** (2001) 951–982.
- [18] E. N. Wilson, Isometry groups on homogeneous manifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* **12** (1982) 337–346.
- [19] J. A. Wolf, Curvature in nilpotent Lie groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1964) 271–274.